UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/567,887	02/09/2006	Nathan Intrator	BRUN-003XX	2127
207 7590 07/30/2007 WEINGARTEN, SCHURGIN, GAGNEBIN & LEBOVICI LLP TEN POST OFFICE SQUARE			EXAMINER NGHIEM, MICHAEL P	
BOSTON, MA 02109		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2863	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/30/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/567,887	INTRATOR ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Michael P. Nghiem	2863			
TI Period for R	ne MAILING DATE of this communication app aply	ears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address			
WHICHE - Extensions after SIX (- If NO period - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY VER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (a) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Out for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, received by the Office later than three months after the mailing tent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. It is timely filed The mailing date of this communication. The mailing date of this communication. The mailing date of this communication.			
·	property to communication(a) filed on					
·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
<i>′</i> =	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
• —	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition	of Claims					
4a) 5)☐ Cla 6)☐ Cla 7)☐ Cla	im(s) <u>1-66</u> is/are pending in the application. Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw im(s) is/are allowed. im(s) is/are rejected. im(s) is/are objected to. im(s) <u>1-66</u> are subject to restriction and/or expressions.					
Application	Papers					
9) <u></u> The	specification is objected to by the Examine	г.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	placement drawing sheet(s) including the correction oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-					
Priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received. s have been received in Applic ity documents have been rece (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage			
· —	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)				
3) Information	n Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) s)/Mail Date		al Patent Application			

Application/Control Number: 10/567,887

Art Unit: 2863

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Species I of claims 1-52

Species II of claims 53-60

Species III of claims 61-66

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The following claim(s) are generic: none.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Species I recites that some of the delays between multiple pairs of signals are measured at different points in time; Species II recites comparing a first statistical time delay estimate with a second

Application/Control Number: 10/567,887

Art Unit: 2863

statistical time delay estimate; Species III recites generating a mean signal energy distribution.

A telephone call was made to Mr. Victor Lebovici on July 20, 2007 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Application/Control Number: 10/567,887

Art Unit: 2863

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by

a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Michael P Nghiem whose telephone number is (571)

272-2277. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, John Barlow can be reached on (571) 272-2269. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MICHAEL NGHIEN

Michael Nghiem

July 20, 2007